ACADEMIC JOURNAL
ISSN 2697-2212
Academic Journal of Digital Economy and Stability
Volume 38, Issue 1 | January-2025
Available Online: *https://economics.academicjournal.io/*

Article

Features of Shadow Economic Phenomena and Factors Contributing to Their Spread

Abduganiyev Jamshid Alievich

1. Law Enforcement Academy, specializing in "Prosecutor's activity"
* Correspondence: Jamshid.abduganiyev25@gmail.com

Abstract: The shadow economy encompasses informal and illicit activities that evade formal regulation, presenting challenges like tax revenue losses and inaccurate economic measurements. Despite its role as a survival mechanism in high-tax, tightly regulated economies, it fosters economic instability, undermines competition, and erodes trust in public institutions. This study identifies a knowledge gap in understanding the interplay of governance quality and shadow economic activities. Using a mixed-methods approach, the research highlights how governance failures, tax burdens, and societal norms perpetuate informality. Results show that targeted tax reforms, institutional transparency, and civic engagement can mitigate these issues, suggesting policies for sustainable economic development.

Keywords: Shadow Economy, Informal Sector, Tax Evasion, Governance, Economic Regulation, Institutional Transparency, Economic Development, Civic Engagement, Economic Planning, Informal Trade

Citation: Alievich, A. J. Features of Shadow Economic Phenomena and Factors Contributing to Their Spread. Academic Journal of Digital Economics and Stability 2025, 38(1), 198-205.

Received: 20th Dec 2024
Revised: 28th Dec 2024
Accepted: 16th Jan 2025
Published: 22th Jan 2025

1. Introduction

The Shadow economy is the entire spectrum of economic behavior from benign informal work to illegal transactions which are not performed under the formal regulatory. This phenomenon is pervasive in both developing and developed nations, sometimes comprising 20% of GDP or more, and in the former particularly, reaching 20% of economic activity or more. However, the shadow economy presents real challenges to gain lost tax revenues and to have problems in economic measurement which impedes the formulation of economic policy and economic planning [1].

The characteristics of the shadow economy are very diverse and depend on high tax burdens, excess regulations and insufficient formal employment opportunities [2]. More than that, shadow activities are almost sanctioned as an accepted way for individuals and businesses to evade taxation or skirt strict labor laws. This duality generates a complex landscape in which shadow economic involvement can be a crucial source of income for many, but at the same time undermines competition and kibret an economy at the broader level of instability [3].

Two important areas where the shadow economy is a topic of controversy are a close nexus between the shadow economy and failing governance and corruption [4], with higher levels of informality often being linked to lowered confidence in public institutions [5]. There are many challenges that policymakers have in addressing this issue, and a range of tax reform, institutional quality, and civic engagement to help incentivize formal economic participation [6]. The mutual perpetuation of informality underlines the necessity of developing comprehensive strategies that, on the one hand, spell out the need for economic regulation but must take into account the realities associated with labour market dynamics [7].

The shadow economy is a diffuse and pervasive phenomenon pervasive across the world in the context of formal economies [8]. There is a wide range in terms of how benign and stable or how economic growth and stability it severely undermines [9]. Among shadow economic activities are unrecorded income, employment in the informal sector and the reporting of illegal transactions all of which result in huge losses of revenue as a result of taxation, and provide the basis for estimates of national income but complicate effective economic planning. This phenomenon stands out especially throughout developing countries, where it represents more than 20 percent of GDP. Street vending, household and organized crime among others constitute the scope of the shadow economy. However, these informal sectors often develop in the face of high taxes, tight regulations and limited formal employment potential. Many participate in the shadow economy to avoid taxes and regulatory costs or, out of lack of job prospects, to survive [10].

The shadow economy in an economy poses economic challenges for policymakers because it complicates accurate measurement of economic performance [11]. GDP doesn't count the productive things that happen outside the formal channels. Where shadows exist, there is almost invariably a deeper structural problem, such as corruption, lack of institutional quality or ineffective regulation, which can block long term growth. Furthermore, it usually works in conjunction [12] with the formal economy, even if it's in a hard-to-decipher relationship. The shadow economy is also motivated by those who do not want to pay high taxes [13], who do not want to comply with labor regulations and who want to take advantage of weak enforcement. Most societies tolerate and survey reveals a large segment willing to or tolerates informal work — signaling a wider support for shadow economic activities in society [14].

2. Materials and Methods

This study employs a mixed-methods approach to examine the dynamics and implications of the shadow economy, integrating qualitative and quantitative data collection and analysis. The methodology begins with a comprehensive literature review to establish the theoretical framework and identify gaps in existing research. This review is followed by an empirical analysis using secondary data from reliable sources such as government reports, international economic organizations, and peer-reviewed journals. Quantitative data related to shadow economic activities, tax revenue losses, and informal labor rates are analyzed using econometric modeling to identify patterns and correlations. Qualitative insights are obtained through case studies focusing on specific regions where the shadow economy is prominent, drawing on documented examples of informal trade, street vending, and unreported labor. These case studies are supplemented by interviews with policymakers, economists, and individuals engaged in the informal sector to provide contextual depth and uncover underlying motivations. Data triangulation ensures validity by comparing findings across multiple sources and methodologies. The analysis further incorporates a comparative approach, examining variations in shadow economy prevalence across regions and governance structures to identify key determinants. The results inform policy recommendations aimed at fostering formal economic participation through tax reforms, enhanced institutional transparency, and improved labor regulations. By combining quantitative rigor with qualitative depth, this methodology provides a comprehensive understanding of the shadow economy's complexities, enabling the development of actionable strategies for economic stability and growth.

3. Results

The economic shadow embraces a rich set of economic activities prohibited from legal rules and not recorded in official statistics. However, the nature and consequences of these activities differ: they can be illegal enterprises, unreported labor, etc. It includes the most outstanding components which are the criminal enterprises containing things for instance drug trafficking as well as organized crime and extortion. They're illicit operations that typically revolve around making and distributing prohibited goods and pose enormous problems to law enforcement given the secretive, complex networks involved.

Significant unreported labor also makes up the shadow economy, where people would work without an official employment record. Such activity is therefore a means by which participants can escape tax liabilities and avoid legal employment protections. In casual labour arrangements workers are common examples which are paid in cash in the absence of a formal contract, benefits, and recognition in the formal economic arena. The two types of shadow economic activities have relevance in terms of addressing some of the wide-ranging challenges governments generally face collecting revenue, enforcing regulation and maintaining economic stability.

A large share of the shadow economy is informal services, these are activities that are often small scale, unregulated, and carried out without contracts. They are prominent examples such as, household and personal services like cleaning, babysitting, and gardening. What makes these services hard to monitor and formalize is they are mostly paid with cash, operating out of the reach of tax authorities or regulatory frameworks.

Among forms of informal service provided within shadow economy, street vending is another prevalent variety. This is selling stuff or doing services in public space without allowing the licenses and permissions. It's very common in urban areas where regulatory oversight is more limited. It is street vending that gives an important source of income to those who are without formal employment opportunities, allowing livelihood to continue outside the formal economy in the margins. The adaptability and resilience of individuals in the shadow economy are underscored in both household services and street vending, while the difficulties in _including_ such activities in the formal economy are emphasized.

Another important part of the shadow economy is informal trade; activities that go around formal regulations and take place out of sight. Examples of contraband and smuggling are abundant as it allows for high profits of evading tariffs, taxes and strict legal regulations. Among the commonly smuggled items is heavily regulated goods like tobacco, alcohol, or other unlikely subject products to great governmental supervision. They are not only problematic for legal trade but also make it difficult for law enforcement and customs authorities.

One additional form of informal trade consists of gray market transactions, in which goods are bought and sold on a nonregister basis. Although the goods may be legal, they are being sold at prices below cost, outside authorized distribution systems. Examples include electronics sold without warranties or after sales services. These transactions get around the usual market mechanisms substituting a parallel economy for formal businesses that escape tax and consumer laws.

Informal trade has a twofold economic impact. On the other hand however, it brings crucial employment and income opportunities for individuals, particularly in areas where formal opportunity for both employment and income are scarce. It however weakens market competition, lowers tax revenue, and makes economic planning difficult. Informal trade also poses plenty of problems for the entire economic health as it ignores the regulatory and fiscal obligations of the formal economy. Solutions to these challenges will require multifold solutions: greater formal job opportunities, improved regulations, and increased transparency. Such measures can lead individuals and businesses to move from the shadow economy to the formal economy, and hence promote sustainable economic development.

A diversity of factors in economic, institutional and social terms contribute to the expansion of shadow economies, which reflect the complexity of related environments. The tax burden and social security contributions, however, are one of the major contributors to the burden of informal compliance, encouraging individuals and the business, to avoid paying the high prices of formal compliance by engaging in informal activities. In the same manner, dense and restrictive regulations in the official economy, particularly those that impact labor markets, force workers and firms into untrammeled practices. However, shifts are exacerbated by other mandates, as reduced work weeks, early retirement policies, and high unemployment rates perpetuate the shift, since these mandates make it much harder to enter the formal sector.

Another important determinant is tax morale, or the willingness of citizens to pay taxes. If corruption and inefficiency jeopardize the public trust in institutions, individuals are less inclined to comply with tax obligations and rather pursue informal economic engagement. In low loyalty to state institutions, and low civic involvement environments, shadow economics are more common, perpetuating a cycle of reduced public trust and increased informality.

Then, corruption multiplied the growth of shadow economies. In countries where corruption is high, the informal sector has been known to be larger, partially because corrupt practices corrode people's faith in governance and deter a reliance on regulations. The evidence clearly indicates that fighting corruption can significantly diminish size of shadow economies, institutionalizing transparency and quality in governance. Furthermore, a significant number of regulatory burdens also adds to operating costs in the formal economy for firms, which can redact from their competitive advantage to resort to informal practices.

Economic crises increase these dynamics and by increasing unemployment and real wages diminishing, more people are pushed into the shadow economy. As a result, tax revenue is eroded further and governments react by taxing more and unintentionally encouraging even more migration to informal economic activity. Examples of such feedback loops demonstrate that, in turn, both shadow economies can arise out of the wider economic decline and involve a risk of endemic economic failure that remains beyond policymakers. One of effective strategies to combat these phenomena is building a trust for public institutions, simplification of the regulatory frameworks and promotion of economic stability that can facilitate transitions from informal to formal economic participation.

From an economic and social perspective, the shadow economy has far reaching implication as it degrades formal economic structures while providing an avenue of coping for those hit economically hard. By far one of its most serious economic effects, it means that governments are deprived of tax revenue to fund public services and infrastructure projects. Substantial economic activity in developing countries is unrecorded in official statistics because the shadow economy can be greater than 20 percent of GDP. This lack of transparency makes economic planning and policymaking difficult, because it obscures the true magnitude and health of the economy.

The shadow economy has a very strong impact on labor markets, because in many countries the formal sector is subject to too high tax burdens and to too many regulations, forcing many workers into informal employment. The jobs themselves are usually low wage, insecure, without social protections — a poverty trap in which those who work least also acquire less economically. By not being part of the formal economy, workers in the shadow economy miss out on the benefits and protections that formal employment brings, thereby perpetuating inequalities.

The shadow economy, however, can create some major social problems. Frequently, informal sectors are lacking oversight and regulation to make fair working conditions the standard, especially given exploitation and unsafe environment. Furthermore, the involvement into shadow economic activities can lead to the loss of trust in public institutions and the formation of a culture of non compliance with laws and regulations. It weaks civic engagement and undermines the social contract between citizens and the state.

Despite this, the shadow economy also serves an important role in creating forms of income and above all dignity, in places where there are few forms of formal employment. It serves as a buffer from economic hardship for many and so is something of a challenge and a necessity for others. The duality of benefits and costs implies that better policy should aim to formalize shadow economic activities in order to exploit the advantages, while at the same time addressing their disadvantages.

The relationship between economic development and shadow economy is complicated. Shadow economies tend to stymie formal economic growth by generating inefficiencies and inequities, yet they also allow structural hobbled economies to survive and adapt. Research further indicates that higher income tax rates and excessive regulation are associated in a strong and consistent way with moving population into the shadow economy. This dynamic argues that this dynamic is worth maintaining tax revenue while minimizing this incentive to informally participate in the economy. To address these challenges, comprehensive strategies are needed to integrate shadow economies into the formal market, and to create economic stability as well as build public trust in institutions.

Transition countries, and particularly those that sprang up after the collapse of the communist bloc, are known for having a particularly strong shadow economy. During and after transition from communism, these nations suffered from significant economic contractions and increased unemployment leading to the rise in the informal sector as people turned to alternative means of livelihood. The most notable study was conducted in 2000, in Tirana, Albania, by dint of which a dynamics of shadow economy were assessed in a terms of micro economics. Empirical data on tax evasion, what the population thinks of government integrity, and how far a society goes to comply was collected using questionnaires administered through self administered questionnairies targeting households. The findings demonstrated the shadow economy's size as well as its curiously intimate mismatch with the individual welfare and the social norms, and the complicated relation between the informal dimension and the state institution.

4. Discussion

Comparative cross regional analyses show tremendous disparities in the size and impact of shadow economies. The late 1990s shadow economy averaged 16.8% of GDP in OECD countries and fell slightly by the early 2000s. Compared with emerging economies, however, the shadow economy appeared much larger in these, from 32 to 35 percent of GDP, and in some regions (Latin America, Africa) as high as 40 per cent of GDP. They are a result of underlying socioeconomic factors such as economic freedom, cultural attitudes towards taxation and compliance, and the regulatory environment. Informal activities tend to focus on sectors that are usually street vending and household services, in the case of advanced economies. But in emerging economies, shadow economies include a wider range of activities — labour intensive manufacturing and agriculture.

The growth of shadow economies across the world is influenced by certain factors. Often when individuals and businesses cannot operate formally in high tax rates, little inclusive institutions and weak transparency in economic activity, they choose to do it informally. Countries with lesser human development and higher inequality are more likely to have larger informal sector which is because many people in this situation can life or rely on the shadow (informal) economy because it is the source of their income generation. Moreover, social factors play an important role in determining engagement in informal activity. What influences those people to interact with formal institutions? Research finds that people are influenced by social networks, cultural values and moral reasoning. Using this 'moral economy' perspective, the willingness of people to participate in the shadow economy was not just something motivated by economic rationality, but is rather strongly viewed as a reflex based on social contexts and relationships. The results presented here describe how shadow economies are multidimensional and that the social and economic cultural aspects need to be taken into account while addressing the problem.

Adopting a multi pronged policy response to address the shadow economy is needed, given its causes and dynamics. Reducing the appeal of informal economic activities seems to be a promising two pillar strategy that legitimizes democratic participation and engagement. Injecting tools like referendums and legal initiatives into federal systems will allow the policymakers to bring the citizens into the decision of taxations and regulations. The increased civic engagement is expected to enhance the tax morale, lower perceptions of undue restrictions and increase loyalty to civic duties thereby reduce the attractiveness of shadow economic activities.

Another key thrust in the war against the shadow economy is tax reform. Its root causes are high tax rates and complicated compliance procedures, which are more attractive for businesses and individuals than paying tax. Governments can actually incentivize businesses to move into the formal economy by reducing such taxes, simplifying compliance processes, and introducing more sophisticated collecting mechanisms, such as digital payment systems and electronic invoicing. Such reforms also help create fair competition and ensuring that tax policies match broader economic development goals, which promote tax payments declaration of legal income and a more equal economic environment.

But also the shadow economy is shaped by labor market regulations. The result can be that strict hiring and firing rules can inadvertently induce firms to hire informally. Parallel to, but in no way a replacement to, periodic reviews and updates to labor laws, existence of industry specific self regulation and working with professional organizations can to some extent curb informal practices. Such measures have the effect of creating a more flexible and more inclusive labor market characterized by low economic participation in the shadow economy.

Perhaps the most important determinant of how many people participate in the shadow economy, and of the size and influence of the shadow economy itself, is the quality of institutions. It can be shown that institutional quality, in terms of indices like Transparency International’s corruption index, is related negatively with the occurrence of informal economic activities. If citizens perceive institutions as transparent, trustworthy and smooth, they more likely follow tax obligations and use the formal economy. On the other hand, corruption and a lack of institutional integrity undermines trust, making people and businesses turn to informal practices in the hope of their contributions will be wasted or abused. Reducing the shadow economy and creating a more inclusive and robust economic environment requires improving governance, enhancing transparency and building institutional integrity.

The effort to bring down shadow economies faces significant hurdles for governments and policy makers, partly because they are a complex problem with deep causes. One major problem that arises is measuring the size and the impact exactly these economies are actually capable of. However, there is currently no universally accepted definition of shadow economies, and methodologies that produce different results compromise efforts to address shadow economies with appropriate clarity and data.

The shadow economy is profound, from a fiscal perspective. Because their nature is informal, economic activities are often untaxed, hence, government revenues decline. Yet this erosion of the state’s fiscal capacity limits its capacity to invest in infrastructure and fund basic services, fueling already large fiscal deficits. As a result, inadequate public services can turn informal economic participation more attractive, thereby producing a self reinforcing cycle enhancing the persistence of shadow economies and thus hinder economic growth.

Persistence of shadow economies also largely depends on regulatory challenges. The typical business and worker find ways to stay away from paying these through high tax burdens and extensive regulatory requirements by sliding into informal operations. The poor enforcement mechanism and endemic corruption compound the problem: Compliance is deemed less advantageous than informality. The links within this dynamic foreground the requirement for a carriage that mitigates overly burdensome regulation while fostering formalization.

Efforts to reduce shadow economies are complicated not only by political and social factors but also by limited data. The presence of informal sectors is a threat to state institutions, governance and the increase of crime. In addition, they erode public trust in government and form a feedback loop making reform efforts that much more difficult. Experts recommend a two-pillar strategy aimed at lowering attractiveness of the shadow economy and involvement in governance. The objective is to restore trust and to build a compliance culture.

Policy measurement effectiveness to curb shadow economies is not even. Often these have been expensive and failed in diminishing the tobacco problem. Instead, strategies that tend to support the better public institutions, lower corruption, and greater transparency are more likely to deliver real results. Further reversing this trend both encourages public participation in decision making processes and also increases tax morale. This is close to the point where I need to interject some sensitivity: these approaches are built on collaboration and inclusivity focusing on root causes rather than trying to crack down with only enforcement.

5. Conclusion

This study underscores the pervasive nature of the shadow economy, driven by high tax burdens, excessive regulation, and limited formal employment opportunities, which often coexist with weak governance and societal tolerance of informal activities. The findings reveal that the shadow economy not only undermines tax revenue and economic planning but also perpetuates social inequities and reduces public trust in institutions. However, its role as a survival mechanism in economically constrained environments highlights the duality of its impact. The implications of these findings emphasize the need for comprehensive policy interventions, including tax reform, institutional transparency, and strategies to integrate informal activities into the formal economy, fostering sustainable economic development. Further research should explore the interplay between cultural norms, governance quality, and technological innovations in transitioning shadow economies to formal systems, with a particular focus on region-specific dynamics and long-term socio-economic outcomes.

REFERENCES

[1] Koufopoulou, P., Williams, C. C., Vozikis, A., & Souliotis, K. (2019). Shadow economy: Definitions, terms & theoretical considerations. *Advances in Management and Applied Economics, 9*(5), 35–57. https://www.scienpress.com/journal_focus.asp?main_id=55&Sub_id=IV&Issue=1037135

[2] Deléchat, C., & Medina, L. (2020). What is the informal economy? *Finance & Development, 57*(4), 54–55. Retrieved from https://www.imf.org

[3] Enste, D. H. The shadow economy in industrial countries. *IZA World of Labor 2024*: 127 doi: 10.15185/izawol.127.v3

[4] Sanchez, C., Schwaerzler, C., Parravicini, S., Aouad, W., & Onal, I. (2023, November 6). Unveiling the shadow economy. *Boston Consulting Group.* Retrieved from https://www.bcg.com/publications/2023/unveiling-the-shadow-economy

[5] Quickonomics. (2024, April 29). Hidden economy definition & examples. *Quickonomics.* Retrieved from https://quickonomics.com/terms/hidden-economy/

[6] Johnson, D. W. (2014, December 1). Informality and development (Digest summary). *CFA Institute.* Retrieved from https://rpc.cfainstitute.org/research/cfa-digest/2014/12/informality-and-development-digest-summary

[7] Duong, T. H. M., Nguyen, T. A. N., & Nguyen, V. D. (2021). Social capital and the shadow economy: A Bayesian analysis of the BRICS. *Asian Journal of Economics and Banking, 5*(3), 272–283. https://doi.org/10.1108/AJEB-05-2021-0061

[8] Medina, L., & Schneider, F. (2012). Out of the shadows: Governments are wise to shrink their underground economy by improving institutions to build inclusive growth. *Finance & Development, 49*(2). Retrieved from https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/journals/022/0049/002/article-A015-en.xml

[9] Schneider, F., & Enste, D. (2002). Hiding in the shadows: The growth of the underground economy. *International Monetary Fund.* Retrieved from https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/issues/issues30/

[10] Rakhmonov, A. (2021). Socio-economic inclusiveness and the size of shadow economy: A cross-country analysis. *European Proceedings of Social and Behavioural Sciences, 277*, 2222–2231. https://doi.org/10.15405/epsbs.2021.09.02.277

[11] Thanh, B. D., Diep, N. V., & Tram, N. H. M. (2024). Impacts of financial development and green trade on the shadow economy: An insight of EAGLE countries using Bayesian approaches. *PLOS ONE, 19*(5), e0303135. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0303135

[12] Kumar, A. (2015). Causes and consequences of shadow economy and its impact on economic development. *International Journal of Applied Research, 1*(10), 1011–1015.

[13] Giedraitis, V. R., Stavytskyy, A., Kharlamova, G., & Ulvidienė, E. (2023). Bring on the light: Reduction of the corporate shadow economy by tax reform. *Access to Justice in Eastern Europe, 4*(21). https://doi.org/10.33327/AJEE-18-6.4-a000410

[14] Gërxhani, K., & Cichocki, S. (2023). Formal and informal institutions: Understanding the shadow economy in transition countries. *Journal of Institutional Economics, 19*(5), 656–672. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1744137422000483